

# **BJA** BUREAU OF JUSTICE ASSISTANCE

## **GMS Report Smart Policing Initiative (SPI) 2009-DG-BX-0118 Report Period: 01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016**

The following report covers grantee reported activity for grant number 2009-DG-BX-0118 awarded to City of Los Angeles for the period 01 Oct 2009 - 30 Sep 2016. The award, in the amount of \$899,959.00, was issued as part of the BJA FY 09 Smart P 1 solicitation. Any funds reported only represent an estimate of dollars allocated or used for activities covered by this award.

This report covers 1 reporting period(s) of data, represented as follows:

- 01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016

### **Project Description**

This program is funded under both the Edward Byrne Memorial Competitive Grant Program (Byrne Competitive Program) and the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program. Authorized by the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2009 (Pub. L. 111-8), the Byrne Competitive Program helps local communities improve the capacity of state and local justice systems and provides for national support efforts including training and technical assistance programs strategically targeted to address local needs. The JAG Program (42 U.S.C. 3751(a)) is the primary provider of federal criminal justice funding to state and local jurisdictions, and JAG funds support all components of the criminal justice system. The Smart Policing Initiative seeks to build upon the concepts of 'offender-based' and 'place-based' policing and broaden the knowledge of effective policing strategies. The most convincing research demonstrates that 'place-based' or 'hotspot' policing reduces violent crime and neighborhood disorder. This initiative address the need for effective policing that requires a tightly focused, collaborative approach that is measurable, based on sound, detailed analysis and includes policies and procedures for accountability. The Los Angeles Police Department's Real-time Analysis and Critical Response Division (RACR) will employ the principles of problem-oriented policing by scanning, analyzing, responding, and assessing the problem of gun violence in selected neighborhoods in Los Angeles. They will implement a RACR strategy to reduce crimes by improving the use of analytic tools to address crime. The goal is to improve neighborhood safety by using problem solving methods and new and innovative technology to increase efficiency of police officers and investigators. CA/NCF

### **Grantee**

The grantee indicated the award had grant activity during the report period. Performance data can be found in the "Performance Measures" section. Narrative information for the award can be found in the "Grantee Comments" and "Goals and Objectives" sections.

### **Award Synopsis**

The following table displays whether the grantee was operational, not operational, or closed out during the report period.

Reporting Period: 01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016

Operational	Not Operational	Closed Out
-------------	-----------------	------------

Grantee	Yes		No
---------	-----	--	----

## Goals & Objectives

The following goals and objectives were entered by the grantee during the report period.

Direct Grantee: (Reporting Period: -)

#	Goal	Status	Progress & Barriers	Planned Activities
---	------	--------	---------------------	--------------------

## Performance Measures

Performance measures data for the GMS report period are displayed below. Only sections with reported data are shown. "Cumulative Total" includes both quarters represented in this report and any other data reported on previous GMS reports since the start of the award.

Agency/Project Information

Measure	01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016	Option Response Totals
3. What was the start date (month/year) of your SPI program?		
• Month/Year	• 10/2009	• -
4. Please provide the <b>primary</b> point of contact (POC) for the research partner that your agency will be working with as part of its SPI program.		
A. Name	A. Craig Uchida	A. -
B. Research partner POC information	B. Research partner POC information	B. Research partner POC information
1. Telephone number	1. 240-687-3719	1. 0
2. E-mail	2. cduchida@jssinc.org	2. 0
3. Address	3. PO Box 6188, Silver Spring, MD 20916	3. 0

5. What is your law enforcement agency's jurisdiction(s)? This can be a city, town, county, parish, township, state, tribe, other politically defined area, or a number of politically defined areas.

• Jurisdiction(s) name:	• City of Los Angeles	• -
-------------------------	-----------------------	-----

6. What is the jurisdiction's population that your law enforcement agency serves? For most jurisdictions, you can find population data by entering your jurisdiction's name at <http://factfinder2.census.gov>.

A. Population:	A. 3792621	A. -
----------------	------------	------

7. How does your agency plan to sustain the SPI program accomplishments post-funding completion? Select all that apply.

A. Continue to invest in research partnerships	A. Continue to invest in research partnerships	A. 1
B. Continue to use data analysis to inform and improve strategic and tactical responses	B. Continue to use data analysis to inform and improve strategic and tactical responses	B. 1
C. Foster community partnerships through systematic public outreach	C. Foster community partnerships through systematic public outreach	C. 1
D. Systematically offer in-service training	D. Systematically offer in-service training	D. 1
E. Replicate the SPI program in other divisions within the agency, if applicable	E. Replicate the SPI program in other divisions within the agency, if applicable	E. 1
	F. -	F. 0

F. Other

Personnel

Measure	01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016	Cumulative Total
8. How many current full-time sworn officers with general arrest powers does your agency have on staff as of the last day of the reporting period?		
A. Number of current full-time sworn officers with general arrest powers as of the last day of the reporting period	A. 9,900	A. 9,900
B. Of those, the number who are assigned to or involved in the SPI program	B. 2,000	B. 2,000
9. During the reporting period, how many crime analyst positions were created/added using SPI program funds?		
A. Number of <b>full-time</b> crime analyst positions	A. Number of <b>full-time</b> crime analyst positions	A. Number of <b>full-time</b> crime analyst positions
1. Cumulative (since start of award)	1. 0	1. -
2. This reporting period	2. 0	2. 0
B. Number of <b>part-time</b> crime analyst positions	B. Number of <b>part-time</b> crime analyst positions	B. Number of <b>part-time</b> crime analyst positions
1. Cumulative (since start of award)	1. 0	1. -
2. This reporting period	2. 0	2. 0

10. During the reporting period, how many crime analysts were assigned to the SPI program (regardless of the origin of program funds)?		
A. Number of full-time crime analyst positions assigned to SPI program	A. 10	A. 10
B. Number of part-time crime analyst positions assigned to SPI program	B. 4	B. 4
<b>Measure</b>	<b>01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016</b>	<b>Option Response Totals</b>
11. During the reporting period, were BJA SPI funds used to pay for overtime hours?		
A. Yes	A. -	A. 0
B. No	B. No	B. 1
C. If Yes, enter number of overtime hours	C. -	C. -

Problem Identification and Understanding

<b>Measure</b>	<b>Text Response(s)</b>
12. What problem do you plan to address with your SPI program?	

**(01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016)**

The LAPD is addressing the problems of violent crime and gun-related crime

**Measure**

**01 Jul 2016 -  
30 Sep 2016**

**Option Response Totals**

13. During the reporting period, did you conduct an analysis of your problem's underlying causes?

A. Yes

A. Yes

A. 1

B. -

B. No

B. 0

C. -

C. If No, please explain and skip to the Action Plan Development section.

C. -

14. Which of the following groups performed data analysis of your problem's underlying causes during the reporting period?

A. Sworn employees of the police agency

A. Sworn employees of the police agency

A. 1

B. Civilian employees of the police agency

B. Civilian employees of the police agency

B. 1

C. Research partner

C. Research partner

C. 1

D. Outside contractor

D. Outside contractor

D. 1

E. -

E. Data was not analyzed this reporting period

E. 0

F. -

F. Other

F. 0

15. Which of the following data sources did you use for the analysis of your problem's underlying causes during the reporting period?

A. Official police call, crime, and arrest data (calls for service, officer-initiated calls for service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports)	A. Official police call, crime, and arrest data (calls for service, officer-initiated calls for service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports)	A. 1
B. Internal agency pre-existing data tracking (use of force reports, citizen complaints)	B. Internal agency pre-existing data tracking (use of force reports, citizen complaints)	B. 1
C. Community data (e.g., foreclosures, health and human services data, school data, or other community data)	C. -	C. 0
D. Corrections data (e.g., probation and parole data)	D. Corrections data (e.g., probation and parole data)	D. 1
E. Prosecution data (e.g., pretrial data)	E. -	E. 0
F. Court data (e.g., case outcomes, convictions, sentences)	F. -	F. 0
G. Offender risk assessments	G. Offender risk assessments	G. 1
H. Focus group data (e.g., focus groups of community members, officers, or victims)	H. -	H. 0
I. Survey data (e.g., surveys of community members, officers, offenders, or victims)	I. Survey data (e.g., surveys of community members, officers, offenders, or victims)	I. 1
J. Systematic observations	J. -	J. 0
	K. -	K. 0
	L. -	L. 0

of places by trained observers (e.g., physical disorder)

K. Intelligence from confidential or other informants

L. Other

16. In which of the following ways did your research partner assist with the problem analysis during the reporting period?

A. Provided leadership and guidance on problem analysis	A. Provided leadership and guidance on problem analysis	A. 1
B. Introduced new partners to the working group to assist with the problem analysis	B. Introduced new partners to the working group to assist with the problem analysis	B. 1
C. Collected data for the problem analysis (e.g., surveys, focus groups, interviews)	C. Collected data for the problem analysis (e.g., surveys, focus groups, interviews)	C. 1
D. Conducted problem analysis	D. Conducted problem analysis	D. 1
E. Interpreted results of the problem analysis	E. Interpreted results of the problem analysis	E. 1
F. Developed actionable recommendations	F. Developed actionable recommendations	F. 1
G. Presented problem analysis results/recommendations to the agency and/or SPI working group partners	G. Presented problem analysis results/recommendations to the agency and/or SPI working group partners	G. 1
H. Communicated problem	H. Communicated problem analysis results/recommendations to groups outside of the agency and/or SPI project working group (e.g., local	H. 1



analysis  
 results/recommendations  
 to groups outside of the  
 agency and/or SPI project  
 working group (e.g., local  
 government, community  
 organizations, media)

working group (e.g., local  
 government, community  
 organizations, media)

Action Plan Development

Measure	01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016	Option Response Totals
17. What is the status of your SPI action plan during the reporting period?		
A. In development	A. -	A. 0
B. Has been submitted to BJA but not approved	B. -	B. 0
C. Plan has been approved by BJA	C. Plan has been approved by BJA	C. 1
18. Please select the development status of each of the following SPI action plan activities during the reporting period.		

Problem Response

Measure	01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016	Option Response Totals
19. Please describe your targeted response (including identified or implemented strategies) to address the problem focus of your SPI program (e.g., increasing community policing and foot patrol in specific violent crime blocks, hiring a coordinator to serve as a community advocate between law enforcement and immigrant communities to increase police legitimacy). This is what you listed in your action plan as your long-term strategic plan, and it will only be changed if you make significant changes to your response.		

A.

A. LAPD has identified "hot spot corridors" and chronic offenders in eight Divisions. A laser-like targeted and focused approach is being used to address the problem. That is, rather than saturating an area with a large number of extra patrol officers or using a task force, the focus is placed on being in the right place at the right time. Officers on foot, bike, and in cars are given specific locations to work in and to prevent crime. Similarly, special units are given a certain number of chronic offender bulletins and asked to watch them, surveill them, and if necessary, make arrests for outstanding warrants, probation/parole violations, or for specific crimes.

A. -

20. During the reporting period, did any information come to light that led to a change in the response strategies reported in the previous question?

A. Yes

A. -

A. 0

B. No

B. No

B. 1

C. If Yes, please explain

C. -

C. -

Data Analysis to Assist and Improve Response Activities

Measure	01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016	Option Response Totals
---------	------------------------------	------------------------

22. Which of the following groups performed data analysis on the effectiveness, efficiency, or implementation fidelity of response activities during the reporting period?

A. Sworn employees of the police agency	A. Sworn employees of the police agency	A. 1
B. Civilian employees of the police agency	B. Civilian employees of the police agency	B. 1
C. Research partner	C. Research partner	C. 1
D. Outside contractor	D. Outside contractor	D. 1
E. Data was not analyzed this reporting period	E. -	E. 0
F. Official police call, crime, and arrest data (calls for service, officer-initiated calls for service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports)	F. -	F. 0

23. Which of the following data sources did you use in your analysis of the response activities during the reporting period? Select all that apply.

A. Official police call, crime, and arrest data (calls for service, officer-initiated calls for service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports)	A. Official police call, crime, and arrest data (calls for service, officer-initiated calls for service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports)	A. 1
B. Internal agency pre-existing data tracking	B. Internal agency pre-existing data tracking (use of force reports,	B. 1
		C. 0

(use or force reports, citizen complaints)	citizen complaints	D. 1
C. Community data (e.g., foreclosures, health and human services data, school data, or other community data)	C. -	E. 0
D. Corrections data (e.g., probation and parole data)	D. Corrections data (e.g., probation and parole data)	F. 0
E. Prosecution data (e.g., pretrial data)	E. -	G. 1
F. Court data (e.g., case outcomes, convictions, sentences)	F. -	H. 0
G. Offender risk assessments	G. Offender risk assessments	I. 1
H. Focus group data (e.g., focus groups of community members, officers, or victims)	H. -	J. 0
I. Survey data (e.g., surveys of community members, officers, offenders, or victims)	I. Survey data (e.g., surveys of community members, officers, offenders, or victims)	K. 0
J. Systematic observations of places by trained observers (e.g., physical disorder)	J. -	L. 0
K. Intelligence from confidential or other informants	K. -	
L. Other	L. -	

24. Please indicate whether you used analysis to inform the following SPI program response activities during the reporting period.

A. Working group decisionmaking	A. Working group decisionmaking	A. Working group decisionmaking
1. Not Applicable	1. -	1. 0
2. Yes	2. Yes	2. 1
3. No	3. -	3. 0
B. Prosecution	B. Prosecution	B. Prosecution
1. Not Applicable	1. Not Applicable	1. 1
2. Yes	2. -	2. 0
3. No	3. -	3. 0
C. Criminal investigations	C. Criminal investigations	C. Criminal investigations
1. Not Applicable	1. -	1. 0
2. Yes	2. Yes	2. 1
3. No	3. -	3. 0

D. Long-term crime reduction and prevention (strategic approach)

1. Not Applicable
2. Yes
3. No

D. Long-term crime reduction and prevention (strategic approach)

1. -
2. Yes
3. -

D. Long-term crime reduction and prevention (strategic approach)

1. 0
2. 1
3. 0

E. Tactical strategies (e.g., short-term crime reduction or prevention strategies)

1. Not Applicable
2. Yes
3. No

E. Tactical strategies (e.g., short-term crime reduction or prevention strategies)

1. -
2. Yes
3. -

E. Tactical strategies (e.g., short-term crime reduction or prevention strategies)

1. 0
2. 1
3. 0

F. Informing the media/public

1. Not Applicable
2. Yes
3. No

F. Informing the media/public

1. Not Applicable
2. -
3. -

F. Informing the media/public

1. 1
2. 0
3. 0

25. In which of the following activities did your research partner assist with the SPI program response during the reporting period? Select all that apply.

A. Provided training and/or technical assistance to agency analysts	A. Provided training and/or technical assistance to agency analysts	A. 1
B. Introduced new partners to the working group to assist with problem response	B. -	B. 0
C. Collected data for the analysis of the problem response	C. Collected data for the analysis of the problem response	C. 1
D. Conducted or assisted in ongoing data analysis	D. Conducted or assisted in ongoing data analysis	D. 1
E. Interpreted analysis results	E. Interpreted analysis results	E. 1
F. Provided recommendations on program strategies	F. Provided recommendations on program strategies	F. 1
G. Presented analysis results/recommendations to the agency and/or SPI working group partners	G. Presented analysis results/recommendations to the agency and/or SPI working group partners	G. 1
H. Communicated analysis results/recommendations to groups outside of the agency and/or SPI project working group (e.g., local government, community organizations, media)	H. Communicated analysis results/recommendations to groups outside of the agency and/or SPI project working group (e.g., local government, community organizations, media)	H. 1

Response to Crime, Disorder, or Other Community Problems

Measure	01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016	Option Response Totals
26. Does your SPI program response focus on crime, disorder, or other community problems (e.g., mental health problems, community satisfaction, community engagement)?		
A. Yes	A. -	A. 0
B. No	B. No	B. 1
27. Please describe what activities were carried out during the reporting period (e.g., hot spot patrols were conducted in high-crime areas, an abandoned building was torn down in a target area, officers were trained on crisis intervention strategies):		
28. Which of the following crime prevention models/strategies, if any, did you use during the reporting period? Select all that apply.		
29. Which of the following models or strategies, if any, did you use during the reporting period? Select all that apply.		

Technology Demonstration

Measure	01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016	Option Response Totals
30. Are you implementing or testing a new technology as part of your SPI program during the reporting period?		
A. Yes	A. Yes	A. 1
B. No	B. -	B. 0



Measure	Text Response(s)
31. Please explain the type of technology that your SPI program is implementing or testing (e.g., body-worn cameras [BWCs], data analysis software/hardware, ShotSpotter).	
	<p>(01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016)</p> <p>LAPD and the research partner are testing the use of automated vehicle locators (AVL) as a measure of dosage in the four Divisions that are implementing SPI.</p>

Body Worn Cameras

Measure	01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016	Option Response Totals
32. Did you use SPI funding to implement or test BWCs during the reporting period?		
A. Yes	A. -	A. 0
B. No	B. No	B. 1
Measure	01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016	Cumulative Total
33. Please enter the total number of sworn positions eligible for BWCs in your agency/coalition.		
34. Please enter the number of sworn positions that have received BWCs:		
35. Please enter the total number of BWCs proposed to be purchased as part of the SPI grant funding.		

36. Please enter the number of BWCs purchased through the SPI grant funding.

Program Progress

Measure	01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016	Option Response Totals
---------	------------------------------	------------------------

37. Do you track your SPI program's progress or impact using specific quantitative measures?

A. Yes	A. Yes	A. 1
B. No	B. -	B. 0
C. If no, please explain:	C. -	C. -

Measure	01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016	Cumulative Total
---------	------------------------------	------------------

38. Please describe the target area where your program's progress or impact is being measured.

A.	A. The target areas are hot spot corridors or LASER Zones that have been identified by using violent crime and gun-related crime data over the last ten years. Each of the Divisions has three to five LASER Zones, depending upon the data.	
----	--	--

39. Please list the quantitative measures you are tracking to evaluate your program's progress or impact.

A.	A. Within the areas LAPD and the research partner are measuring dosage (amount of time in the zones by patrol officers) and crime (violent and gun-related) on a weekly basis. These data are used in Compstat meetings and 'crime control meetings' within each Division.	
<b>Measure</b>	<b>01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016</b>	<b>Option Response Totals</b>
40. Since the beginning of your program, has it demonstrated a measurable impact on the problem of focus?		
A. Yes, positive impact	A. Yes, positive impact	A. 1
B. Yes, negative impact	B. -	B. 0
C. No measurable impact	C. -	C. 0
D. Not yet been measured	D. -	D. 0
E. If Yes, please explain	E. In 2015 we began to see an uptick in violent and gun-related crime in the city of Los Angeles. We conducted analyses to determine the drivers behind the increase. We found that 50% of our homicides and 40% of our violent crime were being generated in four divisions – 77th Street, Newton, Southeast, and Southwest. Thus, we focused enforcement and crime prevention in these	E. -

areas. In 2016 we emphasized the use of LASER in these areas and saw that homicides and gun-related crimes began to decrease, thus showing a positive impact of our methods.

Organizational Integration and Project Sustainability

Measure	01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016	Option Response Totals
41. Has there been a change in your SPI research partner or a significant change in the research partner team members during the reporting period?		
A. Yes	A. -	A. 0
B. No	B. No	B. 1
C. If Yes, please explain and update your research partner POC information, if applicable, in <b>question 4</b>	C. -	C. -
42. Has there been a change in agency or project leadership during the reporting period?		
A. Yes	A. -	A. 0
B. No	B. No	B. 1
C. If Yes, please explain	C. -	C. -

43. Has your agency adopted any policies or procedures as a result of the SPI program?

A. Yes

A. -

A. 0

B. No

B. No

B. 1

44. Since the start of the SPI program, please identify the policies or procedures adopted by your agency as a result of the SPI program. Select all that apply.

45. During the reporting period, did any of the following occur? Select all that apply.

A. Discussion of SPI in command staff, COMPSTAT, or other leadership meetings

A. Discussion of SPI in command staff, COMPSTAT, or other leadership meetings

A. 1

B. Discussion of SPI in roll call

B. Discussion of SPI in roll call

B. 1

C. Discussion of SPI in district meetings

C. -

C. 0

D. SPI-specific training for recruits

D. -

D. 0

E. SPI-specific in-service training

E. SPI-specific in-service training

E. 1

F. SPI knowledge requirement for advancement tests/boards

F. -

F. 0

G. Other

G. -

G. 0

46. During the reporting period, has your agency implemented any of the following activities around advancing knowledge to the field regarding your SPI strategy? Select all that apply.

A. No activities have been conducted during the reporting period	A. -	A. 0
B. Presented about the SPI strategy at a conference	B. Presented about the SPI strategy at a conference	B. 1
C. Disseminated publications to the field about the SPI strategy	C. -	C. 0
D. Developed fliers to inform the public about the SPI strategy	D. -	D. 0
E. Other	E. -	E. 0

Community Outreach

Measure	01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016	Option Response Totals
47. How often was your agency involved in the following community activities as part of your SPI program during the reporting period?		
A. Hosted community meetings	A. Monthly	A. 1
B. Attended community meetings, advisory boards, or roundtables	B. Monthly	B. 1
C. Distributed a newsletter, email, or other bulletin	C. Not Applicable	C. 1
D. Attended community events (e.g., national night out, block parties,	D. Weekly	D. 1
	E. Daily	E. 1
	F. Monthly	
	G. Not Applicable	
	H. -	

festivals)		
E. Conducted social media activities (e.g., Facebook, Twitter)		F. 1
F. Conducted outreach to minority populations (e.g., racial, ethnic, religious, LGBTQI)		G. 1
G. Other		H. -
H. If other please explain		

Working Group and Partnerships

Measure	01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016	Option Response Totals
48. Did your initiative have a working group to help guide the SPI program during the reporting period?		
A. Yes	A. Yes	A. 1
B. No	B. -	B. 0
49. How often did your working group hold organized meetings during the reporting period? Select the response that best fits.		
A. We did not meet this quarter	A. -	A. 0
B. Daily	B. -	B. 0
C. Weekly/Biweekly	C. -	C. 0

D. Monthly

D. Monthly

D. 1

E. -

E. Quarterly

E. 0

50. Please rate the following working group partners based on this statement: "This partner was actively involved in the initiative this reporting period."

A. Research partner

A. Research partner

A. Research partner

1. NA/Not Tracked

1. -

1. 0

2. Strongly Disagree

2. -

2. 0

3. Disagree

3. -

3. 0

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

4. -

4. 0

5. Agree

5. -

5. 0

6. Strongly Agree

6. Strongly Agree

6. 1

B. State/tribal leadership  
(e.g., governor's office)

B. State/tribal leadership  
(e.g., governor's office)

B. State/tribal leadership  
(e.g., governor's office)

1. NA/Not Tracked

1. NA/Not Tracked

1. 1

2. Strongly Disagree

2. -

2. 0

3. Disagree

3. -

3. 0

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

4. -

4. 0

5. Agree

5. -

5. 0

6. Strongly Agree

6. -

6. 0

C. Local leadership (e.g.,  
mayor's office)

C. Local leadership (e.g.,  
mayor's office)

C. Local leadership (e.g.,  
mayor's office)

1. NA/Not Tracked

1. NA/Not Tracked

1. 1

2. Strongly Disagree

2. -

2. 0



3. Disagree	3. -	3.0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	4.0
5. Agree	5. -	5.0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	6.0

D. Federal law enforcement agencies

- 1. NA/Not Tracked
- 2. Strongly Disagree
- 3. Disagree
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 5. Agree
- 6. Strongly Agree

D. Federal law enforcement agencies

- 1. NA/Not Tracked
- 2. -
- 3. -
- 4. -
- 5. -
- 6. -

D. Federal law enforcement agencies

- 1. 1
- 2. 0
- 3. 0
- 4. 0
- 5. 0
- 6. 0

E. State law enforcement agencies

- 1. NA/Not Tracked
- 2. Strongly Disagree
- 3. Disagree
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 5. Agree
- 6. Strongly Agree

E. State law enforcement agencies

- 1. NA/Not Tracked
- 2. -
- 3. -
- 4. -
- 5. -
- 6. -

E. State law enforcement agencies

- 1. 1
- 2. 0
- 3. 0
- 4. 0
- 5. 0
- 6. 0

F. Local law enforcement agencies (including detectives/investigators)

- 1. NA/Not Tracked
- 2. Strongly Disagree
- 3. Disagree

F. Local law enforcement agencies (including detectives/investigators)

- 1. NA/Not Tracked
- 2. -
- 3. -
- 4. -

F. Local law enforcement agencies (including detectives/investigators)

- 1. 1
- 2. 0
- 3. 0
- 4. 0

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	5. -	5.0
5. Agree	6. -	6.0
6. Strongly Agree		
G. Community-based service providers (e.g., housing, employment)	G. Community-based service providers (e.g., housing, employment)	G. Community-based service providers (e.g., housing, employment)
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. NA/Not Tracked	1.1
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	2.0
3. Disagree	3. -	3.0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	4.0
5. Agree	5. -	5.0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	6.0
H. Pretrial service organizations	H. Pretrial service organizations	H. Pretrial service organizations
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. NA/Not Tracked	1.1
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	2.0
3. Disagree	3. -	3.0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	4.0
5. Agree	5. -	5.0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	6.0
I. U.S. Attorney's Office	I. U.S. Attorney's Office	I. U.S. Attorney's Office
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. NA/Not Tracked	1.1
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	2.0
3. Disagree	3. -	3.0
	4. -	4.0

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	5. -	5.0
5. Agree	6. -	6.0
6. Strongly Agree		
J. Prosecutors	J. Prosecutors	J. Prosecutors
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. NA/Not Tracked	1.1
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	2.0
3. Disagree	3. -	3.0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	4.0
5. Agree	5. -	5.0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	6.0
K. Public defender/indigent defense	K. Public defender/indigent defense	K. Public defender/indigent defense
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. NA/Not Tracked	1.1
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	2.0
3. Disagree	3. -	3.0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	4.0
5. Agree	5. -	5.0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	6.0
L. Courts	L. Courts	L. Courts
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. NA/Not Tracked	1.1
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	2.0
3. Disagree	3. -	3.0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	4.0
5. Agree	5. -	5.0
	6. -	6.0

6. Strongly Agree		
M. Community corrections (probation/parole)	M. Community corrections (probation/parole)	M. Community corrections (probation/parole)
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. NA/Not Tracked	1. 1
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	2. 0
3. Disagree	3. -	3. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	4. 0
5. Agree	5. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	6. 0
N. Corrections	N. Corrections	N. Corrections
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. NA/Not Tracked	1. 1
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	2. 0
3. Disagree	3. -	3. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	4. 0
5. Agree	5. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	6. 0
O. Health providers	O. Health providers	O. Health providers
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. NA/Not Tracked	1. 1
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	2. 0
3. Disagree	3. -	3. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	4. 0
5. Agree	5. -	5. 0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	6. 0
P. Conducted social media	P. Conducted social media	P. Conducted social media
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. NA/Not Tracked	1. 1
2. -	2. -	2. 0

1. NA/Not Tracked	4. -	---
2. Strongly Disagree	3. -	3.0
3. Disagree	4. -	4.0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	5. -	5.0
5. Agree	6. -	6.0
6. Strongly Agree		
Q. Substance abuse treatment providers	Q. Substance abuse treatment providers	Q. Substance abuse treatment providers
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. NA/Not Tracked	1.1
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	2.0
3. Disagree	3. -	3.0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	4.0
5. Agree	5. -	5.0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	6.0
R. Child protective services	R. Child protective services	R. Child protective services
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. NA/Not Tracked	1.1
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	2.0
3. Disagree	3. -	3.0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	4.0
5. Agree	5. -	5.0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	6.0
S. Community-based service providers	S. Community-based service providers	S. Community-based service providers
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. NA/Not Tracked	1.1
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	2.0
	3. -	3.0
	4. -	4.0

3. Disagree		5.0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	5. -	6.0
5. Agree	6. -	
6. Strongly Agree		
T. Community groups (e.g., neighborhood watch, community center)	T. Community groups (e.g., neighborhood watch, community center)	T. Community groups (e.g., neighborhood watch, community center)
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. NA/Not Tracked	1.1
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	2.0
3. Disagree	3. -	3.0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	4.0
5. Agree	5. -	5.0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	6.0
	U. Faith-based organizations	U. Faith-based organizations
U. Faith-based organizations	1. NA/Not Tracked	1.1
1. NA/Not Tracked	2. -	2.0
2. Strongly Disagree	3. -	3.0
3. Disagree	4. -	4.0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	5. -	5.0
5. Agree	6. -	6.0
6. Strongly Agree		
	V. Subject matter experts	V. Subject matter experts
V. Subject matter experts	1. NA/Not Tracked	1.1
1. NA/Not Tracked	2. -	2.0
2. Strongly Disagree	3. -	3.0
3. Disagree	4. -	4.0
	5. -	5.0

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	6. -	6.0
5. Agree		
6. Strongly Agree		
W. Foundations/Philanthropic organizations	W. Foundations/Philanthropic organizations	W. Foundations/Philanthropic organizations
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. NA/Not Tracked	1.1
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	2.0
3. Disagree	3. -	3.0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	4.0
5. Agree	5. -	5.0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	6.0
X. Training and technical assistance provider(s)	X. Training and technical assistance provider(s)	X. Training and technical assistance provider(s)
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. NA/Not Tracked	1.1
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	2.0
3. Disagree	3. -	3.0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	4.0
5. Agree	5. -	5.0
6. Strongly Agree	6. -	6.0
Y. Tribal criminal justice agencies	Y. Tribal criminal justice agencies	Y. Tribal criminal justice agencies
1. NA/Not Tracked	1. NA/Not Tracked	1.1
2. Strongly Disagree	2. -	2.0
3. Disagree	3. -	3.0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	4. -	4.0
5. Agree	5. -	5.0
	6. -	6.0
	A@. Businesses	A@. Businesses

6. Strongly Agree	1. NA/Not Tracked	1. 1
A@. Businesses	2. -	2. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	3. -	3. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	4. -	4. 0
3. Disagree	5. -	5. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	6. -	6. 0
5. Agree		
6. Strongly Agree	AA. K-12 schools	AA. K-12 schools
	1. NA/Not Tracked	1. 1
AA. K-12 schools	2. -	2. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	3. -	3. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	4. -	4. 0
3. Disagree	5. -	5. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	6. -	6. 0
5. Agree		
6. Strongly Agree	AB. Public services (e.g., trash collection, public works)	AB. Public services (e.g., trash collection, public works)
	1. NA/Not Tracked	1. 1
AB. Public services (e.g., trash collection, public works)	2. -	2. 0
1. NA/Not Tracked	3. -	3. 0
2. Strongly Disagree	4. -	4. 0
3. Disagree	5. -	5. 0
4. Neither Agree nor Disagree	6. -	6. 0
5. Agree		
6. Strongly Agree		



51. Please indicate which of the following activities, if any, the working group carried out during the reporting period. Select all that apply.

A. Briefed agency leaders	A. Briefed agency leaders	A. 1
B. Conducted planning meetings	B. Conducted planning meetings	B. 1
C. Discussed group membership/participation	C. -	C. 0
D. Discussed resources needed/resource sharing	D. Discussed resources needed/resource sharing	D. 1
E. Invited outside partners to speak with the members (e.g., faith based)	E. -	E. 0
F. Planned related activities for group members	F. -	F. 0
G. Planned tactical responses	G. Planned tactical responses	F. 0
H. Reviewed analysis products	H. Reviewed analysis products	G. 1
I. Reviewed project progress	I. Reviewed project progress	H. 1
J. Engaged in project-sustainability planning	J. Engaged in project-sustainability planning	I. 1
K. Other	K. -	J. 1
		K. 0

Training

Measure	01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016	Option Response Totals
52. Did staff or working group members attend or host training related to your SPI program during the reporting period?		
A. Yes	A. Yes	A. 1
B. No	B. -	B. 0

Research Partnership Activities

Measure	01 Jul 2016 - 30 Sep 2016	Option Response Totals
54. Which of the following activities, if any, did your research partner conduct during the reporting period? Select all that apply		
A. Attended project working-group meetings	A. Attended project working-group meetings	A. 1
B. Observed/attended program responses (e.g., conducted ride-alongs, observed prevention/outreach services)	B. Observed/attended program responses (e.g., conducted ride-alongs, observed prevention/outreach services)	B. 1
C. Collected data for the program evaluation	C. Collected data for the program evaluation	C. 1
D. Conducted activities related to the program evaluation	D. Conducted activities related to the program evaluation	D. 1
E. Communicated with the police agency about the current status of the evaluation	E. Communicated with the police agency about the current status of the evaluation	E. 1
	F. Communicated/presented evaluation plan,	F. 1

<p>F. Communicated/presented evaluation plan, preliminary findings, and/or results to the agency and/or SPI working group partners</p> <p>G. Communicated/presented evaluation plan, preliminary findings, and/or results outside of the SPI project working group (e.g., conference presentations)</p> <p>H. Other</p>	<p>preliminary findings, and/or results to the agency and/or SPI working group partners</p> <p>G. Communicated/presented evaluation plan, preliminary findings, and/or results outside of the SPI project working group (e.g., conference presentations)</p> <p>H. -</p>	<p>H. 0</p>
---	--	-------------

55. Has your research partner provided you with any SPI project products (e.g., crime analysis products, progress reports, final report, and presentation slides) during the reporting period?

<p>A. Yes</p> <p>B. No</p> <p>C. If Yes, please list and briefly describe the products received during the reporting period</p>	<p>A. Yes</p> <p>B. -</p> <p>C. Charts, maps, and tables have been provided by the research partner. The research partner's analyst is embedded in the organization and works closely with other analysts, detectives, and commanders.</p>	<p>A. 1</p> <p>B. 0</p> <p>C. -</p>
---	--	-------------------------------------

Closeout Question (Last Reporting Period Only)

<p><b>Measure</b></p>	<p>-</p>	<p><b>Option Response Totals</b></p>
-----------------------	----------	--------------------------------------

56. How does your agency plan to use the results of the completed assessment/evaluation? Select all that apply.

## Grantee Comments

No comments entered