

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
<b>Award Administration</b>				
	1. Is this the last reporting period for which the award will have data to report?	Yes		
		No	✓	
<b>Grant Activity</b>				
	1. Was there grant activity during the reporting period?	Yes	✓	
		No		
	2. Please complete the following table indicating the percentage of your SPI award allocated for each of the following categories.			
	Police agency	Percent of Overall Program Funding	65	
	Research partner	Percent of Overall Program Funding	35	
	All other partners/agencies	Percent of Overall Program Funding	0	
	TOTAL	Percent of Overall Program Funding	100	
<b>Agency/Project Information</b>				
	3. What was the start date (month/year) of your SPI program?	Month/Year	09/2009	
	4. Please provide the primary point of contact (POC) for the research partner that your agency will be working with as part of its SPI program.	Name	Craig Uchida	
		Research partner POC information		
		Telephone number	240-687-3719	
		E-mail	cduchida@jssinc.org	
		Address	PO Box 6188, Silver Spring, MD 20915	
	5. What is your law enforcement agency's jurisdiction(s)? This can be a city, town, county, parish, township, state, tribe, other politically defined area, or a number of politically defined areas.	Jurisdiction(s) name:	City of Los Angeles	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	6. What is the jurisdiction's population that your law enforcement agency serves? For most jurisdictions, you can find population data by entering your jurisdiction's name at <a href="http://factfinder2.census.gov">http://factfinder2.census.gov</a> .	Population:	3792621	
	7. How does your agency plan to sustain the SPI program accomplishments post-funding completion? Select all that apply.	Continue to invest in research partnerships	✓	
		Continue to use data analysis to inform and improve strategic and tactical responses	✓	
		Foster community partnerships through systematic public outreach	✓	
		Systematically offer in-service training	✓	
		Replicate the SPI program in other divisions within the agency, if applicable	✓	
		Other		
<b>Personnel</b>				
	8. How many current full-time sworn officers with general arrest powers does your agency have on staff as of the last day of the reporting period?	Number of current full-time sworn officers with general arrest powers as of the last day of the reporting period	9960	
		Of those, the number who are assigned to or involved in the SPI program	2030	
	9. During the reporting period, how many crime analyst positions were created/added using SPI program funds?			
	Number of <b>full-time</b> crime analyst positions	Cumulative (since start of award)	0	
		This reporting period	0	
	Number of <b>part-time</b> crime analyst positions	Cumulative (since start of award)	0	
		This reporting period	0	
	10. During the reporting period, how many crime analysts were assigned to the SPI program (regardless of the origin of program funds)?	Number of full-time crime analyst positions assigned to SPI program	12	
		Number of part-time crime analyst positions assigned to SPI program	0	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	11. During the reporting period, were BJA SPI funds used to pay for overtime hours?	Yes		
		No	✓	
<b>Problem Identification and Understanding</b>				
	12. What problem do you plan to address with your SPI program?		The LAPD is addressing the problems of violent on The LAPD is addressing the problems of violent crime and gun-related crime in 12 Divisions.	
	13. During the reporting period, did you conduct an analysis of your problem's underlying causes?	Yes	✓	
		No		
	14. Which of the following groups performed data analysis of your problem's underlying causes during the reporting period?	Sworn employees of the police agency	✓	
		Civilian employees of the police agency	✓	
		Research partner	✓	
		Outside contractor	✓	
		Data was not analyzed this reporting period		
		Other		
	15. Which of the following data sources did you use for the analysis of your problem's underlying causes during the reporting period?	Official police call, crime, and arrest data (calls for service, officer-initiated calls for service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports)	✓	
		Internal agency pre-existing data tracking (use of force reports, citizen complaints)	✓	
		Community data (e.g., foreclosures, health and human services data, school data, or other community data)		
		Corrections data (e.g., probation and parole data)	✓	
		Prosecution data (e.g., pretrial data)		
		Court data (e.g., case outcomes, convictions, sentences)		
		Offender risk assessments	✓	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		Focus group data (e.g., focus groups of community members, officers, or victims)		
		Survey data (e.g., surveys of community members, officers, offenders, or victims)	✓	
		Systematic observations of places by trained observers (e.g., physical disorder)		
		Intelligence from confidential or other informants		
		Other		
	16. In which of the following ways did your research partner assist with the problem analysis during the reporting period?	Provided leadership and guidance on problem analysis	✓	
		Introduced new partners to the working group to assist with the problem analysis	✓	
		Collected data for the problem analysis (e.g., surveys, focus groups, interviews)	✓	
		Conducted problem analysis	✓	
		Interpreted results of the problem analysis	✓	
		Developed actionable recommendations	✓	
		Presented problem analysis results/recommendations to the agency and/or SPI working group partners	✓	
		Communicated problem analysis results/recommendations to groups outside of the agency and/or SPI project working group (e.g., local government, community organizations, media)	✓	
<b>Action Plan Development</b>				
	17. What is the status of your SPI action plan during the reporting period?	In development		
		Has been submitted to BJA but not approved		
		Plan has been approved by BJA	✓	
<b>Problem Response Activity</b>				
<b>Problem Response</b>				

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	19. Please describe your targeted response (including identified or implemented strategies) to address the problem focus of your SPI program (e.g., increasing community policing and foot patrol in specific violent crime blocks; hiring a coordinator to serve as a community advocate between law enforcement and immigrant communities to increase police legitimacy). This is what you listed in your action plan as your long-term strategic plan, and it will only be changed if you make significant changes to your response.		LAPD has identified "hot spot corridors" and chron LAPD has identified "hot spot corridors" and chronic offenders in eight Divisions. A laser-like targeted and focused approach is being used to address the problem. That is, rather than saturating an area with a large number of extra patrol officers or using a task force, the focus is placed on being in the right place at the right time. Officers on foot, bike, and in cars are given specific locations to work in and to prevent crime. Similarly, special units are given a certain number of chronic offender bulletins and asked to watch them, surveil them, and if necessary, make arrests for outstanding warrants, probation/parole violations, or for specific crimes.	
	20. During the reporting period, did any information come to light that led to a change in the response strategies reported in the previous question?	Yes		
		No	✓	
<b>Data Analysis of Response Activities</b>				
	21. Did your SPI program implement any response strategies during the reporting period?	Yes	✓	
		No		
	22. Which of the following groups performed data analysis on the effectiveness, efficiency, or implementation fidelity of response activities during the reporting period?	Sworn employees of the police agency	✓	
		Civilian employees of the police agency	✓	
		Research partner	✓	
		Outside contractor	✓	
		Data was not analyzed this reporting period		
		Official police call, crime, and arrest data (calls for service, officer-initiated calls for service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports)	✓ Crime incident reports and calls for service	
	23. Which of the following data sources did you use in your analysis of the response activities during the reporting period? Select all that apply.	Official police call, crime, and arrest data (calls for service, officer-initiated calls for service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports)	✓	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		Internal agency pre-existing data tracking (use of force reports, citizen complaints)		
		Community data (e.g., foreclosures, health and human services data, school data, or other community data)		
		Corrections data (e.g., probation and parole data)	✓	
		Prosecution data (e.g., pretrial data)		
		Court data (e.g., case outcomes, convictions, sentences)		
		Offender risk assessments	✓	
		Focus group data (e.g., focus groups of community members, officers, or victims)		
		Survey data (e.g., surveys of community members, officers, offenders, or victims)		
		Systematic observations of places by trained observers (e.g., physical disorder)		
		Intelligence from confidential or other informants		
		Other		
	24. Please indicate whether you used analysis to inform the following SPI program response activities during the reporting period.			
	Working group decisionmaking	Not Applicable		
		Yes	✓	
		No		
	Prosecution	Not Applicable	✓	
		Yes		
		No		
	Criminal investigations	Not Applicable		
		Yes	✓	
		No		
	Long-term crime reduction and prevention (strategic approach)	Not Applicable		
		Yes	✓	
		No		

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	Tactical strategies (e.g., short-term crime reduction or prevention strategies)	Not Applicable		
		Yes	✓	
		No		
	Informing the media/public	Not Applicable	✓	
		Yes		
		No		
	25. In which of the following activities did your research partner assist with the SPI program response during the reporting period? Select all that apply.			
		Provided training and/or technical assistance to agency analysts	✓	
		Introduced new partners to the working group to assist with problem response		
		Collected data for the analysis of the problem response	✓	
		Conducted or assisted in ongoing data analysis	✓	
		Interpreted analysis results	✓	
		Provided recommendations on program strategies	✓	
		Presented analysis results/recommendations to the agency and/or SPI working group partners	✓	
		Communicated analysis results/recommendations to groups outside of the agency and/or SPI project working group (e.g., local government, community organizations, media)	✓	
<b>Response to Crime, Disorder, or Other Community Problems</b>				
	26. Does your SPI program response focus on crime, disorder, or other community problems (e.g., mental health problems, community satisfaction, community engagement)?	Yes	✓	
		No		

Category

Question

Option

Response

Alert

27. Please describe what activities were carried out during the reporting period (e.g., hot spot patrols were conducted in high-crime areas, an abandoned building was torn down in a target area, officers were trained on crisis intervention strategies):

During this period officers engaged in directed patrol. During this period officers engaged in directed patrol in specific LASER Corridors in 12 Divisions. Special units were assigned to monitor and track the activities of chronic offenders.

28. Which of the following crime prevention models/strategies, if any, did you use during the reporting period? Select all that apply.

Situational crime prevention and crime prevention through environmental design strategies – approaches that change the perceived opportunities for a crime, such as leading the offender to believe the crime is more difficult or risky; for example, access control to parking lots or improved lighting on a walkway.

✓

Youth development—programs that promote positive behavior and decrease negative behavior in youth; for example, any of the Blueprints programs.

Crime awareness—programs aimed at increasing the awareness of a crime problem, including solutions to prevent crime; for example, a "lock it or lose it" program.

Increase personal safety—programs that provide instruction on increasing personal safety; for example, a rape aggression defense class.

Community building—programs that promote community cohesion, including public safety partnerships between the community and elements of the criminal justice system; for example, National Night Out™.

None of the above

Other crime prevention model/strategy

29. Which of the following models or strategies, if any, did you use during the reporting period? Select all that apply.

Community-oriented approach (community policing)

Geographic focus (hot spots policing)

✓

High-rate offender focus (focused deterrence)

High-rate group/gang focus (pulling levers)



Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		Intelligence-led policing (decision-making based on information and analysis)	✓	
		Problem-solving approach (problem-oriented policing such as the Scanning, Analysis, Response and Evaluation (SARA) model)	✓	
		Procedural justice (ensuring people feel law enforcement is fair and just)		
		Restorative justice		
		Other		
<b>Technology Demonstration</b>				
	30. Are you implementing or testing a new technology as part of your SPI program during the reporting period?	Yes	✓	
		No		
	31. Please explain the type of technology that your SPI program is implementing or testing (e.g., body-worn cameras (BWCs), data analysis software/hardware, ShotSpotter).			LAPD and the research partner are testing the use LAPD and the research partner are testing the use of automated vehicle locators (AVL) as a measure of dosage in the eight Divisions that are implementing SPI.
<b>Body Worn Cameras</b>				
	32. Did you use SPI funding to implement or test BWCs during the reporting period?	Yes		
		No	✓	
<b>Program Progress</b>				
	37. Do you track your SPI program's progress or impact using specific quantitative measures?	Yes	✓	
		No		
	38. Please describe the target area where your program's progress or impact is being measured.			The target areas are hot spot corridors or LASER Z. The target areas are hot spot corridors or LASER Zones that have been identified by using violent crime and gun-related crime data over the last ten years. Each of the Divisions has three to five LASER Zones, depending upon the data.

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	39. Please list the quantitative measures you are tracking to evaluate your program's progress or impact.		Within the areas LAPD and the research partner are. Within the areas LAPD and the research partner are measuring dosage (amount of time in the zones by patrol officers) and crime (violent and gun-related) on a weekly basis. These data are used in Compstat meetings and 'crime control meetings' within each Division.	
	40. Since the beginning of your program, has it demonstrated a measurable impact on the problem of focus? When answering this question, please consider your comparison areas and/or implementation design and analysis findings to this point. Please consult with the research partner when answering this question.	Yes, positive impact	√	
		Yes, negative impact		
		No measurable impact		
		Not yet been measured		
		If Yes, please explain	LASER has demonstrated a measurable drop in violent crime in LASER Zones and in some Divisions overall. These impacts have resulted in the broadening of the program. At the close of this year 12 Divisions will have enacted LASER. In 2018 four new Divisions will be added to the program.	
<b>Organizational Integration and Project Sustainability</b>				
	41. Has there been a change in your SPI research partner or a significant change in the research partner team members during the reporting period?	Yes		
		No	√	
	42. Has there been a change in agency or project leadership during the reporting period?	Yes		
		No	√	
	43. Has your agency adopted any policies or procedures as a result of the SPI program?	Yes		
		No	√	
	45. During the reporting period, did any of the following occur? Select all that apply.	Discussion of SPI in command staff, COMPSTAT, or other leadership meetings	√	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		Discussion of SPI in roll call	✓	
		Discussion of SPI in district meetings		
		SPI-specific training for recruits		
		SPI-specific in-service training	✓	
		SPI knowledge requirement for advancement tests/boards		
		Other		
	46. During the reporting period, has your agency implemented any of the following activities around advancing knowledge to the field regarding your SPI strategy? Select all that apply.	No activities have been conducted during the reporting period		
		Presented about the SPI strategy at a conference	✓	
		Disseminated publications to the field about the SPI strategy		
		Developed fliers to inform the public about the SPI strategy		
		Other		
<b>Community Outreach</b>				
	47. How often was your agency involved in the following community activities as part of your SPI program during the reporting period?			
	Hosted community meetings	Not Applicable	✓	
		Daily		
		Weekly		
		Monthly		
		Quarterly		
	Attended community meetings, advisory boards, or roundtables	Not Applicable	✓	
		Daily		
		Weekly		
		Monthly		
		Quarterly		
	Distributed a newsletter, email, or other bulletin	Not Applicable	✓	
		Daily		
		Weekly		
		Monthly		

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		Quarterly		
	Attended community events (e.g., national night out, block parties, festivals)	Not Applicable	✓	
		Daily		
		Weekly		
		Monthly		
		Quarterly		
	Conducted social media activities (e.g., Facebook, Twitter)	Not Applicable	✓	
		Daily		
		Weekly		
		Monthly		
		Quarterly		
	Conducted outreach to minority populations (e.g., racial, ethnic, religious, LGBTQI)	Not Applicable	✓	
		Daily		
		Weekly		
		Monthly		
		Quarterly		
	Other	Not Applicable	✓	
		Daily		
		Weekly		
		Monthly		
		Quarterly		
<b>Working Group and Partnerships</b>				
	48. Did your initiative have a working group to help guide the SPI program during the reporting period?	Yes	✓	
		No		
	49. How often did your working group hold organized meetings during the reporting period? Select the response that best fits.	We did not meet this quarter		
		Daily		
		Weekly/Biweekly		
		Monthly		

Category Question Option Response Alert

Quarterly

✓

50. Please rate the following working group partners based on this statement: "This partner was actively involved in the initiative this reporting period."

Research partner

NA/Not Tracked

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

✓

State/tribal leadership (e.g., governor's office)

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

Local leadership (e.g., mayor's office)

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

Federal law enforcement agencies

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

State law enforcement agencies

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Category Question Option Response Alert

Strongly Agree

Local law enforcement agencies (including detectives/investigators)

NA/Not Tracked

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

✓

Community-based service providers (e.g., housing, employment)

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

Pretrial service organizations

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

U.S. Attorney's Office

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

Prosecutors

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

Public defender/indigent defense

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Strongly Disagree

Category Question Option Response Alert

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

Courts

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

Community corrections  
(probation/parole)

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

Corrections

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

Health providers

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

Mental health providers

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	Substance abuse treatment providers	NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Child protective services	NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Community-based service providers	NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Community groups (e.g., neighborhood watch, community center)	NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Faith-based organizations	NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Subject matter experts	NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		



Category Question Option Response Alert

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

Foundations/Philanthropic organizations

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

Training and technical assistance provider(s)

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

Tribal criminal justice agencies

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

Businesses

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

K-12 schools

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

Public services (e.g., trash collection, public works)

NA/Not Tracked

✓

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	51. Please indicate which of the following activities, if any, the working group carried out during the reporting period. Select all that apply.	Briefed agency leaders	✓	
		Conducted planning meetings	✓	
		Discussed group membership/participation		
		Discussed resources needed/resource sharing	✓	
		Invited outside partners to speak with the members (e.g., faith based)		
		Planned related activities for group members		
		Planned tactical responses	✓	
		Reviewed analysis products	✓	
		Reviewed project progress	✓	
		Engaged in project-sustainability planning	✓	
		Other		
<b>Training</b>				
	52. Did staff or working group members attend or host training related to your SPI program during the reporting period?	Yes	✓	
		No		
	53. How many people received training in the category that best fits during the reporting period?			
	Crime analysis	Sworn personnel	15	
		Analysts	12	
		Research partner	1	
		Working Group Members	03	
		Other	0	
	Crime reduction strategies/crime prevention strategies	Sworn personnel	15	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		Analysts	12	
		Research partner	1	
		Working Group Members	3	
		Other	0	
	Community/public relations	Sworn personnel	0	
		Analysts	0	
		Research partner	0	
		Working Group Members	0	
		Other	0	
	Technology training (e.g., BWCs)	Sworn personnel	15	
		Analysts	12	
		Research partner	1	
		Working Group Members	3	
		Other	0	
	Training to improve investigative practices	Sworn personnel	0	
		Analysts	0	
		Research partner	0	
		Working Group Members	0	
		Other	0	
	Victimization and trauma response	Sworn personnel	0	
		Analysts	0	
		Research partner	0	
		Working Group Members	0	
		Other	0	
	Other	Sworn personnel	0	
		Analysts	0	
		Research partner	0	
		Working Group Members	0	
		Other	0	
	If other please explain	If Other, please describe	0	

Research Partnership  
Activities

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	54. Which of the following activities, if any, did your research partner conduct during the reporting period? Select all that apply	Attended project working-group meetings	✓	
		Observed/attended program responses (e.g., conducted ride-alongs, observed prevention/outreach services)	✓	
		Collected data for the program evaluation	✓	
		Conducted activities related to the program evaluation	✓	
		Communicated with the police agency about the current status of the evaluation	✓	
		Communicated/presented evaluation plan, preliminary findings, and/or results to the agency and/or SPI working group partners	✓	
		Communicated/presented evaluation plan, preliminary findings, and/or results outside of the SPI project working group (e.g., conference presentations)	✓	
		Other		
	55. Has your research partner provided you with any SPI project products (e.g., crime analysis products, progress reports, final report, and presentation slides) during the reporting period?	Yes	✓	
		No		
		If Yes, please list and briefly describe the products received during the reporting period	In November and December the research partner provided In November and December the research partner provided brief reports on the progress of the 12 divisions that implemented LASER in 2017.	

Goals and Objectives Module

Goals

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	1. Please identify the goal(s) you hope to achieve with your funding. If you have multiple goals, please report on each separately (one at a time) and repeat questions 1-4 for each goal by clicking "Add Another" after question 4.		The first goal is to reduce violent crimes in the The first goal is to reduce violent crimes in the LASER Zones through data-driven techniques. Using data and analytics, the department is able to address violent crime within the areas that are the most violent-prone in 12 divisions and in the city. Similarly, by identifying chronic offenders and tracking their activities, the Department is able to deter them from committing more crimes.	
	2. What is the current status of this goal?	Not yet started		
		In progress	✓	
		Delayed		
		Completed		
		Goal no longer applicable		
	3. During the past 6 months, please describe any progress you made or barriers you encountered related to this goal.		During this reporting period we tracked and monitored the progress of 12 divisions that have implemented LASER within the Smart Policing Initiative. In addition, we tracked and monitored the progress of the Community Safety Operations Center (CSOC), a major component of the LASER Strategy. For each of these patrol divisions, the research partner conducted data analysis to identify hot spot corridors. LAPD's Smart Policing team continued to train analysts and officers on creating chronic offender lists and on identifying 'anchor points' within hot spot corridors. (The chronic offender lists include 12-15 violent offenders and the anchor points are specific places that generate or attract violent crime.) We continued to measure dosage more effectively, using data from automated vehicle locators. These data allowed the Department to determine how much time was spent in each LASER Zone by patrol officers.	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	4. In the next 6 months, what major activities are planned for this goal?		We will add four more LASER Divisions in 2018. Th We will add four more LASER Divisions in 2018. This means that 16 of 21 LAPD Patrol Divisions will implement LASER. Officers, analysts, and command staff at each new division will receive training and will be taught how to construct LASER Zones, identify chronic offenders and use specific tactics to combat violent crime.	
	1. Please identify the goal(s) you hope to achieve with your funding. If you have multiple goals, please report on each separately (one at a time) and repeat questions 1-4 for each goal by clicking 'Add Another' after question 4.		The second goal is to institutionalize LASER princ The second goal is to institutionalize LASER principles throughout the department.	
	2. What is the current status of this goal?	Not yet started		
		In progress	✓	
		Delayed		
		Completed		
		Goal no longer applicable		
	3. During the past 6 months, please describe any progress you made or barriers you encountered related to this goal.		Institutionalizing LASER means that command staff, Institutionalizing LASER means that command staff, officers, and analysts 'buy-in' to the program. During the last six months the Assistant Chief and Deputy Chiefs have continuously asked about LASER in Compstat meetings. This means that Captains must be able to discuss their strategies in hot spot corridors and what they are doing about chronic offenders. With the creation of a centralized database, the research partner and LASER Working group are able to track and monitor the activities of divisions with respect to chronic offenders and chronic locations.	
	4. In the next 6 months, what major activities are planned for this goal?		Over the next six months we will add four more LAS Over the next six months we will add four more LASER Divisions and train and educate Captains, staff, officers, and analysts on LASER principles. We are also putting together a 'tool kit' for all LASER users so that information will be readily available for officers and analysts.	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	6. Did you receive or do you desire any assistance from BJA or a BJA-funded technical assistance provider? A list of technical assistance providers can be found at <a href="https://www.bjatrain.org/">https://www.bjatrain.org/</a> ( <a href="https://www.bjatrain.org/">https://www.bjatrain.org/</a> ). Check all that apply.	Yes, we received assistance		
		Yes, we would like assistance or additional assistance		
		No	√	
	7. BJA likes to showcase grantees who are working on successful, innovative and/or evidence-based programs. Do you have any noteworthy accomplishments, success stories, or program results from this reporting period that you would like to showcase?	Yes		
		No	√	
Program Name: City of Los Angeles BJA PMT - 2009-DG-BX-0118 - 01 Oct 2017-31 Dec 2017			Reporting Period: 10/01/2017 - 12/31/2017	
Award #: 2009-DG-BX-0118				