

Program Name: City of Los Angeles BJA PMT - 2009-DG-BX-0116 - 01 Jul 2017-30 Sep 2017
Award #: 2009-DG-BX-0118

Reporting Period: 07/01/2017 - 09/30/2017

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
Award Administration				
	1. Is this the last reporting period for which the award will have data to report?	Yes		
		No	✓	
Grant Activity				
	1. Was there grant activity during the reporting period?	Yes	✓	
		No		
	2. Please complete the following table indicating the percentage of your SPI award allocated for each of the following categories.			
	Police agency	Percent of Overall Program Funding	65	
	Research partner	Percent of Overall Program Funding	35	
	All other partners/agencies	Percent of Overall Program Funding	0	
	TOTAL	Percent of Overall Program Funding	100	
Agency/Project Information				
	3. What was the start date (month/year) of your SPI program?	Month/Year	09/2009	
	4. Please provide the primary point of contact (POC) for the research partner that your agency will be working with as part of its SPI program.	Name	Craig Uchida	
		Research partner POC information		
		Telephone number	240-687-3719	
		E-mail	cduchida@jssinc.org	
		Address	PO Box 6188, Silver Spring, MD 20916	
	5. What is your law enforcement agency's jurisdiction(s)? This can be a city, town, county, parish, township, state, tribe, other politically defined area, or a number of politically defined areas.	Jurisdiction(s) name:	City of Los Angeles	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	6. What is the jurisdiction's population that your law enforcement agency serves? For most jurisdictions, you can find population data by entering your jurisdiction's name at http://factfinder2.census.gov .	Population:	3792621	
	7. How does your agency plan to sustain the SPI program accomplishments post-funding completion? Select all that apply.	Continue to invest in research partnerships	✓	
		Continue to use data analysis to inform and improve strategic and tactical responses	✓	
		Foster community partnerships through systematic public outreach	✓	
		Systematically offer in-service training	✓	
		Replicate the SPI program in other divisions within the agency, if applicable	✓	
		Other		
Personnel				
	8. How many current full-time sworn officers with general arrest powers does your agency have on staff as of the last day of the reporting period?	Number of current full-time sworn officers with general arrest powers as of the last day of the reporting period	9900	
		Of those, the number who are assigned to or involved in the SPI program	2000	
	9. During the reporting period, how many crime analyst positions were created/added using SPI program funds?			
	Number of full-time crime analyst positions	Cumulative (since start of award)	0	
		This reporting period	0	
	Number of part-time crime analyst positions	Cumulative (since start of award)	0	
		This reporting period	0	
	10. During the reporting period, how many crime analysts were assigned to the SPI program (regardless of the origin of program funds)?	Number of full-time crime analyst positions assigned to SPI program	12	
		Number of part-time crime analyst positions assigned to SPI program	0	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
Problem Identification and Understanding	11. During the reporting period, were BJA SPI funds used to pay for overtime hours?	Yes		
		No	✓	
	12. What problem do you plan to address with your SPI program?	The LAPD is addressing the problems of violent cri The LAPD is addressing the problems of violent crime and gun-related crime		
	13. During the reporting period, did you conduct an analysis of your problem's underlying causes?	Yes	✓	
		No		
	14. Which of the following groups performed data analysis of your problem's underlying causes during the reporting period?	Sworn employees of the police agency	✓	
		Civilian employees of the police agency	✓	
		Research partner	✓	
		Outside contractor	✓	
		Data was not analyzed this reporting period		
		Other		
	15. Which of the following data sources did you use for the analysis of your problem's underlying causes during the reporting period?	Official police call, crime, and arrest data (calls for service, officer-initiated calls for service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports)	✓	
		Internal agency pre-existing data tracking (use of force reports, citizen complaints)	✓	
		Community data (e.g., foreclosures, health and human services data, school data, or other community data)		
		Corrections data (e.g., probation and parole data)	✓	
		Prosecution data (e.g., pretrial data)		
		Court data (e.g., case outcomes, convictions, sentences)		
		Offender risk assessments	✓	
		Focus group data (e.g., focus groups of community members, officers, or victims)		

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		Survey data (e.g., surveys of community members, officers, offenders, or victims)	✓	
		Systematic observations of places by trained observers (e.g., physical disorder)		
		Intelligence from confidential or other informants		
		Other		
	16. In which of the following ways did your research partner assist with the problem analysis during the reporting period?	Provided leadership and guidance on problem analysis	✓	
		Introduced new partners to the working group to assist with the problem analysis	✓	
		Collected data for the problem analysis (e.g., surveys, focus groups, interviews)	✓	
		Conducted problem analysis	✓	
		Interpreted results of the problem analysis	✓	
		Developed actionable recommendations	✓	
		Presented problem analysis results/recommendations to the agency and/or SPI working group partners	✓	
		Communicated problem analysis results/recommendations to groups outside of the agency and/or SPI project working group (e.g., local government, community organizations, media)	✓	
Action Plan Development				
	17. What is the status of your SPI action plan during the reporting period?	In development		
		Has been submitted to BJA but not approved		
		Plan has been approved by BJA	✓	
Problem Response Activity				
Problem Response				

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	19. Please describe your targeted response (including identified or implemented strategies) to address the problem focus of your SPI program (e.g., increasing community policing and foot patrol in specific violent crime blocks, hiring a coordinator to serve as a community advocate between law enforcement and immigrant communities to increase police legitimacy). This is what you listed in your action plan as your long-term strategic plan, and it will only be changed if you make significant changes to your response.		LAPD has identified "hot spot corridors" and chron LAPD has identified "hot spot corridors" and chronic offenders in eight Divisions. A laser-like targeted and focused approach is being used to address the problem. That is, rather than saturating an area with a large number of extra patrol officers or using a task force, the focus is placed on being in the right place at the right time. Officers on foot, bike, and in cars are given specific locations to work in and to prevent crime. Similarly, special units are given a certain number of chronic offender bulletins and asked to watch them, surveil them, and if necessary, make arrests for outstanding warrants, probation/parole violations, or for specific crimes.	
	20. During the reporting period, did any information come to light that led to a change in the response strategies reported in the previous question?	Yes		
		No	✓	
Data Analysis of Response Activities				
	21. Did your SPI program implement any response strategies during the reporting period?	Yes	✓	
		No		
	22. Which of the following groups performed data analysis on the effectiveness, efficiency, or implementation fidelity of response activities during the reporting period?	Sworn employees of the police agency	✓	
		Civilian employees of the police agency	✓	
		Research partner	✓	
		Outside contractor	✓	
		Data was not analyzed this reporting period		
		Official police call, crime, and arrest data (calls for service, officer-initiated calls for service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports)		
	23. Which of the following data sources did you use in your analysis of the response activities during the reporting period? Select all that apply.	Official police call, crime, and arrest data (calls for service, officer-initiated calls for service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports)	✓	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		Internal agency pre-existing data tracking (use of force reports, citizen complaints)		
		Community data (e.g., foreclosures, health and human services data, school data, or other community data)		
		Corrections data (e.g., probation and parole data)	✓	
		Prosecution data (e.g., pretrial data)		
		Court data (e.g., case outcomes, convictions, sentences)		
		Offender risk assessments	✓	
		Focus group data (e.g., focus groups of community members, officers, or victims)		
		Survey data (e.g., surveys of community members, officers, offenders, or victims)		
		Systematic observations of places by trained observers (e.g., physical disorder)		
		Intelligence from confidential or other informants		
		Other		
	24. Please indicate whether you used analysis to inform the following SPI program response activities during the reporting period.			
	Working group decisionmaking	Not Applicable		
		Yes	✓	
		No		
	Prosecution	Not Applicable	✓	
		Yes		
		No		
	Criminal investigations	Not Applicable		
		Yes	✓	
		No		
	Long-term crime reduction and prevention (strategic approach)	Not Applicable		
		Yes	✓	
		No		

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	Tactical strategies (e.g., short-term crime reduction or prevention strategies)	Not Applicable		
		Yes	✓	
		No		
	Informing the media/public	Not Applicable	✓	
		Yes		
		No		
	25. In which of the following activities did your research partner assist with the SPI program response during the reporting period? Select all that apply.	Provided training and/or technical assistance to agency analysts	✓	
		Introduced new partners to the working group to assist with problem response		
		Collected data for the analysis of the problem response	✓	
		Conducted or assisted in ongoing data analysis	✓	
		Interpreted analysis results	✓	
		Provided recommendations on program strategies	✓	
		Presented analysis results/recommendations to the agency and/or SPI working group partners	✓	
		Communicated analysis results/recommendations to groups outside of the agency and/or SPI project working group (e.g., local government, community organizations, media)	✓	
		Response to Crime, Disorder, or Other Community Problems		
	26. Does your SPI program response focus on crime, disorder, or other community problems (e.g., mental health problems, community satisfaction, community engagement)?	Yes		
		No	✓	
Technology Demonstration				

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	30. Are you implementing or testing a new technology as part of your SPI program during the reporting period?	Yes	✓	
		No		
	31. Please explain the type of technology that your SPI program is implementing or testing (e.g., body-worn cameras [BWCs], data analysis software/hardware, ShotSpotter).		LAPD and the research partner are testing the use LAPD and the research partner are testing the use of automated vehicle locators (AVL) as a measure of dosage in the 12 Divisions that are implementing SPI.	
Body Worn Cameras				
	32. Did you use SPI funding to implement or test BWCs during the reporting period?	Yes		
		No	✓	
Program Progress				
	37. Do you track your SPI program's progress or impact using specific quantitative measures?	Yes	✓	
		No		
	38. Please describe the target area where your program's progress or impact is being measured.		The target areas are hot spot corridors or LASER Z The target areas are hot spot corridors or LASER Zones that have been identified by using violent crime and gun-related crime data over the last ten years. Each of the Divisions has three to five LASER Zones, depending upon the data.	
	39. Please list the quantitative measures you are tracking to evaluate your program's progress or impact.		Within the areas LAPD and the research partner are Within the areas LAPD and the research partner are measuring dosage (amount of time in the zones by patrol officers) and crime (violent and gun-related) on a weekly basis. These data are used in Compstat meetings and 'crime control meetings' within each Division.	
	40. Since the beginning of your program, has it demonstrated a measurable impact on the problem of focus? When answering this question, please consider your comparison areas and/or implementation design and analysis findings to this point. Please consult with the research partner when answering this question.	Yes, positive impact	✓	
		Yes, negative impact		
		No measurable impact		

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		Not yet been measured		
		If Yes, please explain	Since 2012 LASER has demonstrated a measurable impact on crime reduction. This continues to be the case in 12 Divisions.	
Organizational Integration and Project Sustainability				
	41. Has there been a change in your SPI research partner or a significant change in the research partner team members during the reporting period?	Yes		
		No	✓	
	42. Has there been a change in agency or project leadership during the reporting period?	Yes		
		No	✓	
	43. Has your agency adopted any policies or procedures as a result of the SPI program?	Yes		
		No	✓	
	45. During the reporting period, did any of the following occur? Select all that apply.	Discussion of SPI in command staff, COMPSTAT, or other leadership meetings	✓	
		Discussion of SPI in roll call	✓	
		Discussion of SPI in district meetings		
		SPI-specific training for recruits		
		SPI-specific in-service training	✓	
		SPI knowledge requirement for advancement tests/boards		
		Other		
	46. During the reporting period, has your agency implemented any of the following activities around advancing knowledge to the field regarding your SPI strategy? Select all that apply.	No activities have been conducted during the reporting period		
		Presented about the SPI strategy at a conference	✓	
		Disseminated publications to the field about the SPI strategy		
		Developed fliers to inform the public about the SPI strategy		
		Other		

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
Community Outreach				
	47. How often was your agency involved in the following community activities as part of your SPI program during the reporting period?			
	Hosted community meetings	Not Applicable	✓	
		Daily		
		Weekly		
		Monthly		
		Quarterly		
	Attended community meetings, advisory boards, or roundtables	Not Applicable	✓	
		Daily		
		Weekly		
		Monthly		
		Quarterly		
	Distributed a newsletter, email, or other bulletin	Not Applicable	✓	
		Daily		
		Weekly		
		Monthly		
		Quarterly		
	Attended community events (e.g., national night out, block parties, festivals)	Not Applicable	✓	
		Daily		
		Weekly		
		Monthly		
		Quarterly		
	Conducted social media activities (e.g., Facebook, Twitter)	Not Applicable	✓	
		Daily		
		Weekly		
		Monthly		
		Quarterly		
	Conducted outreach to minority populations (e.g., racial, ethnic, religious, LGBTQI)	Not Applicable	✓	
		Daily		

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	Other	Weekly		
		Monthly		
		Quarterly		
		Not Applicable	✓	
		Daily		
		Weekly		
		Monthly		
		Quarterly		
Working Group and Partnerships				
48. Did your initiative have a working group to help guide the SPI program during the reporting period?	Yes	✓		
	No			
49. How often did your working group hold organized meetings during the reporting period? Select the response that best fits.	We did not meet this quarter			
	Daily			
	Weekly/Biweekly			
	Monthly			
	Quarterly	✓		
50. Please rate the following working group partners based on this statement: "This partner was actively involved in the initiative this reporting period."	Research partner	NA/Not Tracked		
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree	✓	
	State/tribal leadership (e.g., governor's office)	NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
	Agree			
	Strongly Agree			

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	Local leadership (e.g., mayor's office)	NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Federal law enforcement agencies	NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	State law enforcement agencies	NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Local law enforcement agencies (including detectives/investigators)	NA/Not Tracked		
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Community-based service providers (e.g., housing, employment)	NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Pretrial service organizations	NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
		NA/Not Tracked		
U.S. Attorney's Office		Strongly Disagree	✓	
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
Prosecutors		NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
		NA/Not Tracked		
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
Public defender/indigent defense		Agree	✓	
		Strongly Agree		
		NA/Not Tracked		
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
		NA/Not Tracked		
		Strongly Disagree		
Courts		Disagree	✓	
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
		NA/Not Tracked		
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
Community corrections (probation/parole)		NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
		Strongly Agree		

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
Corrections		NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
Health providers		NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
Mental health providers		NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
Substance abuse treatment providers		NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
Child protective services		NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
Community-based service providers		NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	Community groups (e.g., neighborhood watch, community center)	Agree	✓	
		Strongly Agree		
		NA/Not Tracked		
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
	Faith-based organizations	Agree	✓	
		Strongly Agree		
		NA/Not Tracked		
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
	Subject matter experts	Agree	✓	
		Strongly Agree		
		NA/Not Tracked		
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
	Foundations/Philanthropic organizations	Agree	✓	
		Strongly Agree		
		NA/Not Tracked		
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
	Training and technical assistance provider(s)	Agree	✓	
		Strongly Agree		
		NA/Not Tracked		
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
	Tribal criminal justice agencies	Agree	✓	
		Strongly Agree		
		NA/Not Tracked		

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
Businesses		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
		NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
K-12 schools		Strongly Agree		
		NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
Public services (e.g., trash collection, public works)		Strongly Agree		
		NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
51. Please indicate which of the following activities, if any, the working group carried out during the reporting period. Select all that apply.		Strongly Agree		
		Briefed agency leaders	✓	
		Conducted planning meetings	✓	
		Discussed group membership/participation		
		Discussed resources needed/resource sharing	✓	
		Invited outside partners to speak with the members (e.g., faith based)		
		Planned related activities for group members		
		Planned tactical responses	✓	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		Reviewed analysis products	√	
		Reviewed project progress	√	
		Engaged in project-sustainability planning	√	
		Other		
Training				
	52. Did staff or working group members attend or host training related to your SPI program during the reporting period?	Yes	√	
		No		
	53. How many people received training in the category that best fits during the reporting period?			
	Crime analysis	Sworn personnel	12	
		Analysts	12	
		Research partner	2	
		Working Group Members	3	
		Other	0	
	Crime reduction strategies/crime prevention strategies	Sworn personnel	12	
		Analysts	12	
		Research partner	2	
		Working Group Members	3	
		Other	0	
	Community/public relations	Sworn personnel	0	
		Analysts	0	
		Research partner	0	
		Working Group Members	0	
		Other	0	
	Technology training (e.g., BWCs)	Sworn personnel	0	
		Analysts	0	
		Research partner	0	
		Working Group Members	0	
		Other	0	
	Training to improve investigative practices	Sworn personnel	0	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		Analysts	0	
		Research partner	0	
		Working Group Members	0	
		Other	0	
	Victimization and trauma response	Sworn personnel	0	
		Analysts	0	
		Research partner	0	
		Working Group Members	0	
		Other	0	
		Sworn personnel	0	
		Analysts	0	
		Research partner	0	
		Working Group Members	0	
		Other	0	
		If other please explain	If Other, please describe	0
Research Partnership Activities				
54. Which of the following activities, if any, did your research partner conduct during the reporting period? Select all that apply	Attended project working-group meetings	✓		
	Observed/attended program responses (e.g., conducted ride-alongs, observed prevention/outreach services)	✓		
	Collected data for the program evaluation	✓		
	Conducted activities related to the program evaluation	✓		
	Communicated with the police agency about the current status of the evaluation	✓		
	Communicated/presented evaluation plan, preliminary findings, and/or results to the agency and/or SPI working group partners	✓		
	Communicated/presented evaluation plan, preliminary findings, and/or results outside of the SPI project working group (e.g., conference presentations)	✓		
	Other			

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	55. Has your research partner provided you with any SPI project products (e.g., crime analysis products, progress reports, final report, and presentation slides) during the reporting period?	Yes	√	
		No		
		If Yes, please list and briefly describe the products received during the reporting period	The research partner, Dr. Craig Uchida provides qu The research partner, Dr. Craig Uchida provides quarterly reports and powerpoint slides to the Department.	
Program Name: City of Los Angeles BJA PMT - 2009-DG-BX-0118 - 01 Jul 2017-30 Sep 2017 Award #: 2009-DG-BX-0118			Reporting Period: 07/01/2017 - 09/30/2017	