

Program Name: City of Los Angeles BJA PMT - 2009-DG-BX-0118 - 01 Oct 2016-31 Dec 2016
 Award #: 2009-DG-BX-0118 Type of Laboratory: none

Reporting Period: 10/01/2016 - 12/31/2016

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
Award Administration				
	1. Is this the last reporting period for which the award will have data to report?	Yes		
		No	√	
Grant Activity				
	1. Was there grant activity during the reporting period?	Yes	√	
		No		
	2. Please complete the following table indicating the percentage of your SPI award allocated for each of the following categories.			
	Police agency	Percent of Overall Program Funding	65	
	Research partner	Percent of Overall Program Funding	35	
	All other partners/agencies	Percent of Overall Program Funding	0	
	TOTAL	Percent of Overall Program Funding	100	
Agency/Project Information				
	3. What was the start date (month/year) of your SPI program?	Month/Year		
	4. Please provide the primary point of contact (POC) for the research partner that your agency will be working with as part of its SPI program.	Name	Craig Uchida	
		Research partner POC information		
		Telephone number	240-687-3719	
		E-mail	cduchida@jssinc.org	
		Address	PO Box 6188, Silver Spring, MD 20916	
	5. What is your law enforcement agency's jurisdiction(s)? This can be a city, town, county, parish, township, state, tribe, other politically defined area, or a number of politically defined areas.	Jurisdiction(s) name:	City of Los Angeles	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	6. What is the jurisdiction's population that your law enforcement agency serves? For most jurisdictions, you can find population data by entering your jurisdiction's name at http://factfinder2.census.gov .	Population:	3792621	
	7. How does your agency plan to sustain the SPI program accomplishments post-funding completion? Select all that apply.	Continue to invest in research partnerships	√	
		Continue to use data analysis to inform and improve strategic and tactical responses	√	
		Foster community partnerships through systematic public outreach	√	
		Systematically offer in-service training	√	
		Replicate the SPI program in other divisions within the agency, if applicable	√	
		Other		
Personnel				
	8. How many current full-time sworn officers with general arrest powers does your agency have on staff as of the last day of the reporting period?	Number of current full-time sworn officers with general arrest powers as of the last day of the reporting period	9900	
		Of those, the number who are assigned to or involved in the SPI program	3000	
	9. During the reporting period, how many crime analyst positions were created/added using SPI program funds?			
	Number of full-time crime analyst positions	Cumulative (since start of award)	0	
		This reporting period	0	
	Number of part-time crime analyst positions	Cumulative (since start of award)	0	
		This reporting period	0	
	10. During the reporting period, how many crime analysts were assigned to the SPI program (regardless of the origin of program funds)?	Number of full-time crime analyst positions assigned to SPI program	6	
		Number of part-time crime analyst positions assigned to SPI program	0	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	11. During the reporting period, were BJA SPI funds used to pay for overtime hours?	Yes		
		No	√	
Problem Identification and Understanding				
	12. What problem do you plan to address with your SPI program?		The LAPD is addressing the problems of violent cri The LAPD is addressing the problems of violent crime and gun-related crime	
	13. During the reporting period, did you conduct an analysis of your problem's underlying causes?	Yes	√	
		No		
	14. Which of the following groups performed data analysis of your problem's underlying causes during the reporting period?	Sworn employees of the police agency	√	
		Civilian employees of the police agency	√	
		Research partner	√	
		Outside contractor	√	
		Data was not analyzed this reporting period		
		Other		
	15. Which of the following data sources did you use for the analysis of your problem's underlying causes during the reporting period?	Official police call, crime, and arrest data (calls for service, officer-initiated calls for service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports)	√	
		Internal agency pre-existing data tracking (use of force reports, citizen complaints)	√	
		Community data (e.g., foreclosures, health and human services data, school data, or other community data)		
		Corrections data (e.g., probation and parole data)	√	
		Prosecution data (e.g., pretrial data)		
		Court data (e.g., case outcomes, convictions, sentences)		
		Offender risk assessments	√	
		Focus group data (e.g., focus groups of community members, officers, or victims)		

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		Survey data (e.g., surveys of community members, officers, offenders, or victims)	✓	
		Systematic observations of places by trained observers (e.g., physical disorder)		
		Intelligence from confidential or other informants		
		Other		
	16. In which of the following ways did your research partner assist with the problem analysis during the reporting period?	Provided leadership and guidance on problem analysis	✓	
		Introduced new partners to the working group to assist with the problem analysis	✓	
		Collected data for the problem analysis (e.g., surveys, focus groups, interviews)	✓	
		Conducted problem analysis	✓	
		Interpreted results of the problem analysis	✓	
		Developed actionable recommendations	✓	
		Presented problem analysis results/recommendations to the agency and/or SPI working group partners	✓	
		Communicated problem analysis results/recommendations to groups outside of the agency and/or SPI project working group (e.g., local government, community organizations, media)	✓	
Action Plan Development				
	17. What is the status of your SPI action plan during the reporting period?	In development		
		Has been submitted to BJA but not approved		
		Plan has been approved by BJA	✓	
Problem Response Activity				
Problem Response				

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	19. Please describe your targeted response (including identified or implemented strategies) to address the problem focus of your SPI program (e.g., increasing community policing and foot patrol in specific violent crime blocks, hiring a coordinator to serve as a community advocate between law enforcement and immigrant communities to increase police legitimacy). This is what you listed in your action plan as your long-term strategic plan, and it will only be changed if you make significant changes to your response.		LAPD has identified "hot spot corridors" and chron LAPD has identified "hot spot corridors" and chronic offenders in eight Divisions. A laser-like targeted and focused approach is being used to address the problem. That is, rather than saturating an area with a large number of extra patrol officers or using a task force, the focus is placed on being in the right place at the right time. Officers on foot, bike, and in cars are given specific locations to work in and to prevent crime. Similarly, special units are given a certain number of chronic offender bulletins and asked to watch them, surveil them, and if necessary, make arrests for outstanding warrants, probation/parole violations, or for specific crimes.	
	20. During the reporting period, did any information come to light that led to a change in the response strategies reported in the previous question?	Yes		
		No	√	
Data Analysis of Response Activities				
	21. Did your SPI program implement any response strategies during the reporting period?	Yes	√	
		No		
	22. Which of the following groups performed data analysis on the effectiveness, efficiency, or implementation fidelity of response activities during the reporting period?	Sworn employees of the police agency	√	
		Civilian employees of the police agency	√	
		Research partner	√	
		Outside contractor	√	
		Data was not analyzed this reporting period		
		Official police call, crime, and arrest data (calls for service, officer-initiated calls for service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports)		
	23. Which of the following data sources did you use in your analysis of the response activities during the reporting period? Select all that apply.	Official police call, crime, and arrest data (calls for service, officer-initiated calls for service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports)	√	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		Internal agency pre-existing data tracking (use of force reports, citizen complaints)		
		Community data (e.g., foreclosures, health and human services data, school data, or other community data)		
		Corrections data (e.g., probation and parole data)	√	
		Prosecution data (e.g., pretrial data)		
		Court data (e.g., case outcomes, convictions, sentences)		
		Offender risk assessments	√	
		Focus group data (e.g., focus groups of community members, officers, or victims)		
		Survey data (e.g., surveys of community members, officers, offenders, or victims)		
		Systematic observations of places by trained observers (e.g., physical disorder)		
		Intelligence from confidential or other informants		
		Other		
	24. Please indicate whether you used analysis to inform the following SPI program response activities during the reporting period.			
	Working group decisionmaking	Not Applicable		
		Yes	√	
		No		
	Prosecution	Not Applicable		
		Yes		
		No	√	
	Criminal investigations	Not Applicable		
		Yes	√	
		No		
	Long-term crime reduction and prevention (strategic approach)	Not Applicable		
		Yes	√	
		No		

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	Tactical strategies (e.g., short-term crime reduction or prevention strategies)	Not Applicable		
		Yes	√	
		No		
	Informing the media/public	Not Applicable		
		Yes		
		No	√	
	25. In which of the following activities did your research partner assist with the SPI program response during the reporting period? Select all that apply.			
		Provided training and/or technical assistance to agency analysts	√	
		Introduced new partners to the working group to assist with problem response		
		Collected data for the analysis of the problem response	√	
		Conducted or assisted in ongoing data analysis	√	
		Interpreted analysis results	√	
		Provided recommendations on program strategies	√	
		Presented analysis results/recommendations to the agency and/or SPI working group partners	√	
		Communicated analysis results/recommendations to groups outside of the agency and/or SPI project working group (e.g., local government, community organizations, media)		
Response to Crime, Disorder, or Other Community Problems				
	26. Does your SPI program response focus on crime, disorder, or other community problems (e.g., mental health problems, community satisfaction, community engagement)?	Yes	√	
		No		

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	27. Please describe what activities were carried out during the reporting period (e.g., hot spot patrols were conducted in high-crime areas, an abandoned building was torn down in a target area, officers were trained on crisis intervention strategies):		During the reporting period Operation LASER was used. During the reporting period Operation LASER was used in eight police divisions of the LAPD. Crime Intelligence Details assisted with data analysis, the creation of maps and missions, and identifying chronic offenders. Dosage or time spent in the areas is collected weekly. Captains and commanders oversee the deployment of officers into the LASER Zones and of special units that are assigned to chronic offenders.	
	28. Which of the following crime prevention models/strategies, if any, did you use during the reporting period? Select all that apply.	Situational crime prevention and crime prevention through environmental design strategies — approaches that change the perceived opportunities for a crime, such as leading the offender to believe the crime is more difficult or risky; for example, access control to parking lots or improved lighting on a walkway.		
		Youth development — programs that promote positive behavior and decrease negative behavior in youth; for example, any of the Blueprints programs.		
		Crime awareness — programs aimed at increasing the awareness of a crime problem, including solutions to prevent crime; for example, a "lock it or lose it" program.		
		Increase personal safety — programs that provide instruction on increasing personal safety; for example, a rape aggression defense class.		
		Community building — programs that promote community cohesion, including public safety partnerships between the community and elements of the criminal justice system; for example, National Night Out™.		
		None of the above	✓	
		Other crime prevention model/strategy		
	29. Which of the following models or strategies, if any, did you use during the reporting period? Select all that apply.	Community-oriented approach (community policing)		
		Geographic focus (hot spots policing)	✓	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		High-rate offender focus (focused deterrence)		
		High-rate group/gang focus (pulling levers)		
		Intelligence-led policing (decision-making based on information and analysis)	✓	
		Problem-solving approach (problem-oriented policing such as the Scanning, Analysis, Response and Evaluation (SARA) model)	✓	
		Procedural justice (ensuring people feel law enforcement is fair and just)		
		Restorative justice		
		Other		
Technology Demonstration				
	30. Are you implementing or testing a new technology as part of your SPI program during the reporting period?	Yes	✓	
		No		
	31. Please explain the type of technology that your SPI program is implementing or testing (e.g., body-worn cameras [BWCs], data analysis software/hardware, ShotSpotter).			LAPD and the research partner are testing the use LAPD and the research partner are testing the use of automated vehicle locators (AVL) as a measure of dosage in the eight Divisions that are implementing SPI.
Body Worn Cameras				
	32. Did you use SPI funding to implement or test BWCs during the reporting period?	Yes		
		No	✓	
Program Progress				
	37. Do you track your SPI program's progress or impact using specific quantitative measures?	Yes	✓	
		No		
	38. Please describe the target area where your program's progress or impact is being measured.			The target areas are hot spot corridors or LASER Z. The target areas are hot spot corridors or LASER Zones that have been identified by using violent crime and gun-related crime data over the last ten years. Each of the Divisions has three to five LASER Zones, depending upon the data.

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	39. Please list the quantitative measures you are tracking to evaluate your program's progress or impact.		Within the areas LAPD and the research partner are Within the areas LAPD and the research partner are measuring dosage (amount of time in the zones by patrol officers) and crime (violent and gun-related) on a weekly basis. These data are used in Compstat meetings and 'crime control meetings' within each Division.	

	40. Since the beginning of your program, has it demonstrated a measurable impact on the problem of focus? When answering this question, please consider your comparison areas and/or implementation design and analysis findings to this point. Please consult with the research partner when answering this question.	Yes, positive impact	√	
		Yes, negative impact		
		No measurable impact		
		Not yet been measured		

		If Yes, please explain	During 2016 violence began to increase in Los Ange During 2016 violence began to increase in Los Angeles. To stem the tide of violence, more attention was paid to the LASER Divisions by assigning a Commander to oversee the activities of the Captains and to coordinate the deployment of resources. Using data and analysis on a daily basis, the Commander and Captains were able to stem the increase in violence, particularly homicides and shootings. While violence increased city-wide, using this data-driven approach the LAPD was able to prevent more violence from occurring in the LASER Zones and the patrol Divisions.	
--	--	------------------------	--	--

Organizational Integration and Project Sustainability

	41. Has there been a change in your SPI research partner or a significant change in the research partner team members during the reporting period?	Yes		
		No	√	
	42. Has there been a change in agency or project leadership during the reporting period?	Yes		
		No	√	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	43. Has your agency adopted any policies or procedures as a result of the SPI program?	Yes		
		No	√	
	45. During the reporting period, did any of the following occur? Select all that apply.	Discussion of SPI in command staff, COMPSTAT, or other leadership meetings	√	
		Discussion of SPI in roll call	√	
		Discussion of SPI in district meetings	√	
		SPI-specific training for recruits		
		SPI-specific in-service training		
		SPI knowledge requirement for advancement tests/boards		
		Other		
	46. During the reporting period, has your agency implemented any of the following activities around advancing knowledge to the field regarding your SPI strategy? Select all that apply.	No activities have been conducted during the reporting period		
		Presented about the SPI strategy at a conference	√	
		Disseminated publications to the field about the SPI strategy		
		Developed fliers to inform the public about the SPI strategy		
		Other		
Community Outreach				
	47. How often was your agency involved in the following community activities as part of your SPI program during the reporting period?	Hosted community meetings	Not Applicable	√
			Daily	
			Weekly	
			Monthly	
			Quarterly	
		Attended community meetings, advisory boards, or roundtables	Not Applicable	√
			Daily	
			Weekly	
			Monthly	
			Quarterly	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	Distributed a newsletter, email, or other bulletin	Not Applicable	√	
		Daily		
		Weekly		
		Monthly		
		Quarterly		
	Attended community events (e.g., national night out, block parties, festivals)	Not Applicable	√	
		Daily		
		Weekly		
		Monthly		
		Quarterly		
	Conducted social media activities (e.g., Facebook, Twitter)	Not Applicable	√	
		Daily		
		Weekly		
		Monthly		
		Quarterly		
	Conducted outreach to minority populations (e.g., racial, ethnic, religious, LGBTQI)	Not Applicable	√	
		Daily		
		Weekly		
		Monthly		
		Quarterly		
	Other	Not Applicable	√	
		Daily		
		Weekly		
		Monthly		
		Quarterly		
Working Group and Partnerships				
	48. Did your initiative have a working group to help guide the SPI program during the reporting period?	Yes	√	
		No		

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	49. How often did your working group hold organized meetings during the reporting period? Select the response that best fits.	We did not meet this quarter		
		Daily		
		Weekly/Biweekly	✓	
		Monthly		
		Quarterly		
	50. Please rate the following working group partners based on this statement: "This partner was actively involved in the initiative this reporting period."	Research partner		
		NA/Not Tracked		
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree	✓	
	State/tribal leadership (e.g., governor's office)	NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Local leadership (e.g., mayor's office)	NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Federal law enforcement agencies	NA/Not Tracked	✓	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
State law enforcement agencies		NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
Local law enforcement agencies (including detectives/investigators)		NA/Not Tracked		
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree	√	
		Strongly Agree		
Community-based service providers (e.g., housing, employment)		NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
Pretrial service organizations		NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
U.S. Attorney's Office		NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
Prosecutors		NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Public defender/indigent defense	NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Courts	NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Community corrections (probation/parole)	NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Corrections	NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Health providers	NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Conducted social media	NA/Not Tracked	√	

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Substance abuse treatment providers	NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Child protective services	NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Community-based service providers	NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Community groups (e.g., neighborhood watch, community center)	NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Faith-based organizations	NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Subject matter experts	NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Foundations/Philanthropic organizations	NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Training and technical assistance provider(s)	NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Tribal criminal justice agencies	NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Businesses	NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	K-12 schools	NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	Public services (e.g., trash collection, public works)	NA/Not Tracked	√	
		Strongly Disagree		
		Disagree		
		Neither Agree nor Disagree		
		Agree		
		Strongly Agree		
	51. Please indicate which of the following activities, if any, the working group carried out during the reporting period. Select all that apply.	Briefed agency leaders	√	
		Conducted planning meetings	√	
		Discussed group membership/participation	√	
		Discussed resources needed/resource sharing	√	
		Invited outside partners to speak with the members (e.g., faith based)		
		Planned related activities for group members		
		Planned tactical responses	√	
		Reviewed analysis products	√	
		Reviewed project progress	√	
		Engaged in project-sustainability planning	√	
		Other		
Training				
	52. Did staff or working group members attend or host training related to your SPI program during the reporting period?	Yes		
		No	√	
Research Partnership Activities				

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	54. Which of the following activities, if any, did your research partner conduct during the reporting period? Select all that apply	Attended project working-group meetings	✓	
		Observed/attended program responses (e.g., conducted ride-alongs, observed prevention/outreach services)		
		Collected data for the program evaluation	✓	
		Conducted activities related to the program evaluation	✓	
		Communicated with the police agency about the current status of the evaluation	✓	
		Communicated/presented evaluation plan, preliminary findings, and/or results to the agency and/or SPI working group partners	✓	
		Communicated/presented evaluation plan, preliminary findings, and/or results outside of the SPI project working group (e.g., conference presentations)		
		Other		
	55. Has your research partner provided you with any SPI project products (e.g., crime analysis products, progress reports, final report, and presentation slides) during the reporting period?	Yes	✓	
		No		
		If Yes, please list and briefly describe the products received during the reporting period		Dr. Uchida provided brief reports in December as p Dr. Uchida provided brief reports in December as part of his end-of-the-year reports. He analyzed data in the patrol divisions that use Operation LASER methods and also analyzed crimes in each of the LASER Zones.

Goals and Objectives Module

Goals

1. Please identify the goal(s) you hope to achieve with your funding. If you have multiple goals, please report on each separately (one at a time) and repeat questions 1-4 for each goal by clicking 'Add Another' after question 4.

Goal 1. Reduce violent crime in specific areas usi Goal 1. Reduce violent crime in specific areas using data-driven strategies (LASER- Los Angeles's StrategicExtraction and Restoration Program)

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	2. What is the current status of this goal?	Not yet started		
		In progress	√	
		Delayed		
		Completed		
		Goal no longer applicable		
	3. During the past 6 months, please describe any progress you made or barriers you encountered related to this goal.		We continue to use LASER in eight Divisions of the We continue to use LASER in eight Divisions of the LAPD's 21 patrol Divisions.	
	4. In the next 6 months, what major activities are planned for this goal?		We will expand LASER to four more Divisions in Feb We will expand LASER to four more Divisions in February and April 2017. This will increase the number of active Divisions to 12. We hope to have all patrol Divisions using LASER by 2018.	
	1. Please identify the goal(s) you hope to achieve with your funding. If you have multiple goals, please report on each separately (one at a time) and repeat questions 1-4 for each goal by clicking 'Add Another' after question 4.		Goal 2. Increase the use of analytics for strategi Goal 2. Increase the use of analytics for strategic and tactical purposes	
	2. What is the current status of this goal?	Not yet started		
		In progress	√	
		Delayed		
		Completed		
		Goal no longer applicable		
	3. During the past 6 months, please describe any progress you made or barriers you encountered related to this goal.		We continue to train officers and analysts to anal We continue to train officers and analysts to analyze data, educate police Captains about the value of data, and use analytics for tactical purposes daily.	
	4. In the next 6 months, what major activities are planned for this goal?		We will train more Captains, officers and analysts We will train more Captains, officers and analysts in four patrol divisions in February and March 2017.	

Activity

Category	Question	Option	Response	Alert
	6. Did you receive or do you desire any assistance from BJA or a BJA-funded technical assistance provider? A list of technical assistance providers can be found at https://www.bjatrainning.org/ (https://www.bjatrainning.org/). Check all that apply.	Yes, we received assistance		
		Yes, we would like assistance or additional assistance		
		No	√	
	7. BJA likes to showcase grantees who are working on successful, innovative and/or evidence-based programs. Do you have any noteworthy accomplishments, success stories, or program results from this reporting period that you would like to showcase?	Yes		
		No	√	

Program Name: City of Los Angeles BJA PMT - 2009-DG-BX-0118 - 01 Oct 2016-31 Dec 2016
Award #: 2009-DG-BX-0118 Type of Laboratory: none

Reporting Period: 10/01/2016 - 12/31/2016